## PETITION FOR

## BEFORE THE ZONING HEARING BOARD OF

## LEBANON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

CASE # 1-23	DATE: 5   11   23
APPELLANT/PETITIONER(S) Lester Z. Martin & Deborah K. Martin	111/25
ADDRESS: 568 Stricklerstown Road, Millcreek Township	<del></del>
PROPERTY LOCATION: Same	
OWNER(S): Lester Z. Martin & Deborah K. Martin	<del></del>
ADDRESS: Same as above	<del></del>
Reasons for Appeal/Petition: Article 16, Sec. 16.21 of the Millcreek Township Zoning Ord establish an accessory solar use on his property subject to certain conditions contained in A(1) - (2) Petitioner to establish a solar energy use which will be in the field located on his property. It shall most aesthetic and architecturally compatible method; 2) the system shall not project into the setb more than the minimum 5 feet from any property line; 4) the structure shall exceed 25% of the grostructure.  Petitioner is entitled to a variance because 1) the system shall be effectively placed and shall not rethe variance shall provide minimum relief necessary; 3) special circumstances exist that are peculi property experiencing frequent power outages due to its location. The literal interpretation would be reliable source of power. The special circumstances are not caused by actions of Petitioner. The grant to Petitioner a reliable source of power.	4). It is the intention of be: 1) installed and sited in the ack; 3) the system shall be bund floor area of the principal estrict adjacent sky space; 2) ar to the land in that the
Fee of \$ 400 received on 5   11   23 Check # 10087-140  5   11   25   10087-140  Signature of Appellant/Petitis	oner

## VARIANCE CRITERIA

The <u>Pennsylvania Municipal Planning Code</u> allows a Zoning Hearing Board to potentially grant a variance (relief to a regulation) provided that all of the following findings are made where relevant in a given case:

- 1) That there are unique circumstances or conditions, including irregularity, narrowness, or shallowness of lot size or shape, or exceptional topographical or other physical conditions peculiar to the particular property and that the unnecessary hardship is due to such conditions and not the circumstances or conditions generally created by the provisions of the zoning ordinance in the neighborhood or district in which the property is located.
- 2) That because of such physical circumstances or conditions, there is no possibility that the property can be developed in strict conformity with the provisions of the zoning ordinance and that the authorization of a variance is therefore necessary to enable the reasonable use of the property.
  - 3) That such unnecessary hardship has not been created by the appellant.
- 4) That the variance, if authorized, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood or district in which the property is located, nor substantially or permanently impair the appropriate use or development of adjacent property, nor be detrimental to the public welfare.
- 5) That the variance, if authorized, will represent the minimum variance that will afford relief and will represent the minimum variance that will afford relief and will represent the least modification possible of the regulation in issue.

In granting any variance, the board may attach such reasonable conditions and safeguards as it may deem necessary to implement the purposes of this act and the zoning ordinance.

I hereby acknowledge that I have read and understand the above criteria.

Signature of Appelant/Petitioner